

Follow-Up to PIR R000778-021226

To: Austin ISD Public Information Office (via GovQA portal) **From:** Kevin Hopper **Re:** Public Information Request R000778-021226 – Follow-Up on Outstanding Items **Date:** March 2026

Thank you for your response to my February 12, 2026, public information request. I have reviewed the documents provided, including the Title I campus allocations, the CBOC bond program financial summaries, and the MGT enrollment forecast report. I also reviewed the public materials on the facilities assessment and CBOC websites referenced in your response.

I am writing to follow up on several items from the original request that were not addressed in the response.

1. Items Silently Omitted

The following items were not mentioned in the response, either as provided or denied:

Item 2d – Bond program manager close-out reports or final cost summaries for completed projects. The CBOC financial summaries provided show high-level budget-to-actual figures, but the original request specifically asked for close-out reports from the bond program manager. Several 2017 bond projects are shown as fully completed. Close-out reports or final cost reconciliations for these completed projects should exist.

Item 3b – Deferred maintenance estimates by campus. The FCA reports on the public website document facility conditions but do not include dollar-denominated deferred maintenance estimates. If the district maintains a deferred maintenance backlog, whether as a standalone document or as part of annual budgeting, those records are responsive to this request.

2. Campus-Level Budget Allocations (Item 1c)

Your response stated that financial data is “maintained at the district/program level, rather than at the individual campus level.” However, the original request for Item 1c asked for campus-level budget allocations for FY2024 and FY2025, not expenditure reports. Annual budget documents allocating staff and resources to individual campuses are standard district records. If campus-level budget worksheets, staffing allocations, or campus improvement plan budgets exist, they would be responsive to this item.

3. Compensatory Education Allotments (Item 1e)

The Foundation School Program generates compensatory education allotments based on campus-level at-risk student counts under TEC Section 48.104. TEA calculates these allotments per campus as part of the FSP funding formula. Could you confirm whether the district maintains records of how compensatory education allotments are distributed

to campuses, or whether campus-level compensatory education allocation data is available through your finance or federal programs office?

4. January Financial Reports

Your response indicated that January 2026 financial reports would be provided “once complete.” Could you provide an estimated timeline for when these will be available?

Summary of Outstanding Items

Item	Description	Status
1c	Campus-level budget allocations (FY2024/FY2025)	Narrowed request (see above)
1e	Compensatory education allotments by campus	Clarification requested (see above)
2d	Bond manager close-out reports	Not addressed in response
3b	Deferred maintenance estimates by campus	Not addressed in response
–	January 2026 financial reports	Promised, awaiting delivery

Thank you for your continued attention to this request.

Kevin Hopper kevin.hopper1@gmail.com (972) 754-6406